

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/550,960	04/17/2000	Richard C. Levine	065581.0105	1648		
7590 08/11/2004			EXAMINER			
Baker Botts LI			WOO, RICHARD SUKYOON			
2001 Ross Avenue Dallas, TX 75201			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3629			
Duillo, 111 ,0201						
			DATE MAILED, 09/11/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/550,960		LEVINE, RICHARD C.				
. 0	ffice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Richard Wo		3629				
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communica Ny	ition appears on the d	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress	,		
THE MAILI - Extensions o after SIX (6) - If the period f - If NO period - Failure to rep Any reply rec earned pater	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA of time may be available under the provisions of 3 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communitor reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do for reply is specified above, the maximum statute all within the set or extended period for reply will revived by the Office later than three months after that there adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  Or CFR 1.136(a). In no eventication.  Iays, a reply within the statute ory period will apply and will.  I. by statute. cause the applic.	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timel  the mailing date of this c  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunica	tion.		
Status								
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>24 May 2004</u> .						
/								
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
close	ed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of	Claims							
· ·	n(s) <u>1-168</u> is/are pending in the ap							
	of the above claim(s) is/are		sideration.					
	n(s) <u>39-76 and 128-154</u> is/are allo							
	n(s) <u>1-38, 77-127 and 155-168</u> is/s	are rejected.						
•	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
8)LJ Clair	n(s) are subject to restricted	on and/or election rec	quirement.					
Application P	•							
•	specification is objected to by the I		7	<b>-</b>		•		
	frawing(s) filed on is/are: a							
• •	cant may not request that any objection				ED 1 12	1/4)		
•	acement drawing sheet(s) including the path or declaration is objected to be							
rr)∟ me c	atif of declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner. Not	e the attached Office	, Action of lonnin	10 102	•		
Priority under	<sup>,</sup> 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∐ Ackn a)∐ All 1.∐	owledgment is made of a claim fo b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority do			ı)-(d) or (f).				
2.	Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been	received in Applicat	tion No				
3.	Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documer	nts have been receiv	ed in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International							
* See th	ne attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certifi	ed copies not receiv	ed.				
A44								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of R	eferences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of D	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date	.0.450			
3) Information Paper No(s	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT)/Mail Date	10/05/00/	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	U-152)			

Art Unit: 3629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1) Applicant's response filed May 24, 2004 has been acknowledged and entered.

  Applicant's arguments, with respect to section 112 rejections have been fully considered and are persuasive. The corresponding rejections of the previous office action have been withdrawn.
- In response to the applicant's argument that the rejected claims are directed to various methods of routing an object in a transportation network, the examiner respectfully traverses the argument for the following reasons.

It is noted that essential technological features (such as computer, processor, server or other pertinent technological arts in the field of network) constituting applicant's invention, routing an object in a transportation network, are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Notwithstanding a statement of intended use carries some patentable weight in a method claim, the claimed invention lacks patentable utility because there is not technological step involved in the claimed invention. The invention merely describes 'routing an object" or delivering the object (e.g. mail or parcel) in association with a corresponding address and code, which could be possibly done with a conventional delivery system (a manual activity can accomplish the same delivery or routing result). Ex parte Bowman, 61 USPQ 2d 1669 (BdPatApp&Int 2001).

Art Unit: 3629

Although the applicant has amended the claims to include "processing environment", it is noted that the changes do not sufficiently provide *computer implementation or any other technology* to the claims to overcome the rejection because the mere processing environment could suggest a manual processing, which is not requiring any computer implementation or other essential technology.

The examiner invites an applicant's attention to a core definition of Class 705 as follows: "this is the generic class for apparatus and corresponding method for performing data processing operations, in which there is a significant change in the data or for performing calculation operation wherein the apparatus or method is uniquely designed for or utilized in the practice, ..." For classification herein, there must be significant claim recitation of the data processing system or calculating computer and only nominal claim recitation of any external art environment.

In order to establish *quid pro quo* between an inventor and the general public, the inventor is obligated to disclose the exact meets and boundaries regarding his/her invention such that the general public could be benefited from the invention and further to promote or improve it. Generally, the classification system of the U.S. Patent Office provides a guidance to this meets and boundaries. If an applicant does not honor the classification system, the general public would be deprived of the right to know the meets and boundaries with respect to the applicant's invention.

2) The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Art Unit: 3629

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3) Claims 1-38, 77-127 and 155-168 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. See the reasons as recited earlier in the response to arguments. See Claim 128 of the instant application to see how the applicant has claimed the invention within the technological arts so as to overcome the section 101 rejections.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4) Claims 39-76 and 128-154 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

5) **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Woo whose telephone number is 703-308-7830. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703-308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

Richard Woo

Patent Examiner

GAU 3629

August 7, 2004

JOHN G. WEISS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER JADO